

PUBLIC MEETING TO CONSIDER A NEIGHBOURHOOD DEVELOPMENT PLAN  
TINTAGEL SOCIAL HALL - WEDNESDAY 29<sup>TH</sup> JANUARY 2014, 7.00 P.M.

MEETING NOTES

Attended by: Cllrs. Wickett, Hodge, Flower, Dyer, Roberts, Spurdens, Dorman,  
Lewis & Hockerday  
Sue Moth, Parish Clerk  
Cllr. Glenton Brown  
73 members of the public  
Apologies: Cllrs. Brooks & Goward

RW welcomed everyone to the meeting and gave an overview of the process of a Neighbourhood Development Plan. He advised that Parish Councils are being encouraged by the Community Networks and Cornwall Council to formulate plans, and the whole principle is to involve the whole community. An Expression of Interest has been submitted to Cornwall Council to cover the whole of the parish of Tintagel and Cornwall Council's consultation period ends on the 17<sup>th</sup> February.

It is expected that the process could take 2 ½ - 3 years. At the end of the process a 50% vote in favour is required to accept the Plan and for it to be sent to Cornwall Council to be accepted as a legal document.

The development boundaries no longer exist and the community can decide on where they wish green spaces to be kept and where they feel development should take place, along with many other features which could form part of the plan.

Grants are available to a maximum of £7000 and the plan could cost up to £20,000 or more. Help is needed to push the project forward as this must be a community led project.

L. Bass - how effective will it be and can it be overturned by anyone.

RW - the document should be accepted by Cornwall Council as a legal document which would be used as a consultation document for all planning applications.

James Francis - why £20,000 what costs are there.

RW - questionnaire, IT consultancy, printing etc. etc.

James Francis & Jane Suter - willing to produce a questionnaire

Mr. Weight - if Cornwall Council want to overrule it, they will.

David Hodge - Cornwall Plan states 800 new houses in the Camelford area, but only 300 jobs. Idea of an NDP is great, communities can have a say, but up to £20,000 could be spent over 3 years and there is no guarantee the plan would be accepted. In theory, we should pursue it, but must realise that may not be able to achieve what it says.

Aaron Hockerday - understands a cross-party acceptance of the Localism Act.

PUBLIC MEETING TO CONSIDER A NEIGHBOURHOOD DEVELOPMENT PLAN  
TINTAGEL SOCIAL HALL - WEDNESDAY 29<sup>TH</sup> JANUARY 2014, 7.00 P.M.

MEETING NOTES

RW - readout the paragraph "Delivery" from the Roadmap book regarding acceptance as a legal document.

Mrs. Pilsbury - if we do not do it, Cornwall Council could think we do not care about development and impose it here.

Richard Dale - what about the old development boundaries.

RW - these were abolished two years ago, as part of the plan we could ask for them to be re-instated or move the line where development could be acceptable.

Ray Bowler - if NDP doesn't come about, what powers would we lose

RW - the Parish Council are currently only a consultee.

Ray Bowler - what is the life of the plan

RW - 20 years until 2030.

Mr. Osborne - why is the Parish Council under pressure

RW - from Community Network and Cornwall Council.

Mr. Osborne - no option but to go ahead with the plan or leave the area open to development.

RW - the Plan must be a community bases project.

Jane Suter - all the 800 houses proposed all going to be affordable

Richard Hart - how many people are needed / Hope the Parish Council does become a pressure group.

AH - it is not the Parish Council, it has to be led by you, the community.

Mr. Grainger - feels should get National Trust and English Heritage involved, Good time to address winter closures.

Sue Moth - support is needed from everyone in the community - groups, businesses, land owners, parishioners, clubs etc.

Mr. Grainger - would be willing to take on EH and NT.

Mr. Sandercock - has reservations about a plan that has a lifetime of 20 years. Cornwall Council cabinet proposes 47,000 new homes - where will jobs come from and the infrastructure to support them. A normal Parish Council meeting only attracts 1 or 2 members of the public unlike this evening. People should

PUBLIC MEETING TO CONSIDER A NEIGHBOURHOOD DEVELOPMENT PLAN  
TINTAGEL SOCIAL HALL - WEDNESDAY 29<sup>TH</sup> JANUARY 2014, 7.00 P.M.

MEETING NOTES

concentrate on smaller projects which will benefit the community now and not to try and predict 20 years ahead. No control can be brought over the National Trust or English Heritage. It was hoped that an investment of £27m would be 1 million people to Stonehenge. 250,000 visit Tintagel Castle each year but no money is spent here. Suggest that the goodwill here tonight is harnessed in a much better way.

Cllr. Dyer - concerned about a recent article about a Cotswold village where the developers moved it.

Mr. Sandercock - this is closer to bigger industrial areas. Tourism is the lifeblood of this area and we must support it.

Mr. Grainger - if we do not have a plan, we will get developers.

Jill Frewer - are there enough people here that want to help to take this project forward.

Cllr Wickett felt that a show of hands would be appropriate, this would not be binding. At the end of the day the Parish Council will have to make a decision as to whether to go ahead with a Plan.

Cllr. Roberts noted that the St. Minver initial response had been 50%. Tintagel has only 10%.

A show of hands as to whether the Parish Council should proceed with a plan was taken:-

Yes - 62, No - 3, Undecided 8

Cllr. Roberts advised that a Project Manager and an IT assistant were required at the very least along with a lot more help.

The Clerk advised that the Cornwall Council consultation period ends on the 17.2.14.

S.J. Moth  
Clerk to Tintagel Parish Council